



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 mf 8

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORN	IEY DOCKET NO.
09/120,452	07/23/98	YURT			P	0247	3.0004
_	- *			·		EXAMIN	ER
'	•	LM1	2/0625	-, -	L		
HOWREY & SIMON			•		LE,A		
BOX NO. 34			, ,		ART UNI	Τ 🔻	PAPER NUMBER
1299 PENNSYL	VANIA AVENI	JE. NW		•			

WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

DATE MAILED: 06/25/99

2734

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The state of the s

The first time than the first

Application No.

09/120,452

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

Paul Vurt et al



2734 Amanda T. Le Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/10/99 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. X Claim(s) 33-59 Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) X Claim(s) 33-37 and 39-59 is/are rejected. X Claim(s) 38 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ Sie the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. the drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. is approved disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐☐ received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 --- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2734

1. Claims 33-59 are pending in this application This Office Action supersedes the Office Action mailed on 03/05/99 which did not take into consideration of the Preliminary Amendment filed on 2/10/99.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985), *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970), and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969)

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 33-37, 39-59 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-27 of U. S. Patent No. 5,132,992 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matters.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicants were prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which

Application/Control Number: 09/120,452

Art Unit: 2734

matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F 2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 33-37, 41-49 are rejected under 35 U S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tindell et al (submitted by Applicants, U S. Patent no 5,130.792)

Tindell et al's store and forward video system (Fig. 2, 3, 5-7) teaches the following claimed subject matters: "identification encoder" (46, or col 3, lines 25-27). "compressed data library" (22); "transceiver" (col. 2, line 60-col 3, line 3), "storage device" (76, 78), "user playback control" (72); "digital decompressor" (82); "playback device" (88, 126, col. 5, lines 55-58); "source material library" (24); "converter" (26); "compressor" (44), "format converter" (32, 33); "user request interface" (80, col. 2, line 60-col. 3, line 3), "output converter" (86, 88), "transmitter" (108).

Art Unit: 2734

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 39 and 40 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the double patenting rejection, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 50-59 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection set forth in this Office action
- rejection set forth in this Office action

 8. Claims 38 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. And the state that the trees the state that

Conclusion

9.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051. (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

 Application/Control Number: 09/120,452

Art Unit: 2734

(703) 308-6743, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda Le, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8 00 A M. - 5·30 P M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached on (703)305-4714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

June 22, 1999

Amanda 7. Le

Primary Patent Examiner

exmandate.